

## Presentation Overview

---

- HAVA's Mandate
- Where We're At (Procurement Phase)
- The Winning Solution
  - Vendor
  - Strategy
  - Proposed Budget
  - Tentative Schedule

2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Presentation Overview *(cont.)*

---

- The VoteCal System
  - Registration
  - List Maintenance
  - The Official List
  - Public Website
  - Data Security
  - Reliability
- County Role
- Questions/Discussion

3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HAVA's Mandate

---

Help America Vote Act (HAVA), Section 303 requires:

- Single, uniform statewide system for voter registration
- Official list for federal elections
- Uniformity and standards for accuracy and list maintenance practices

4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HAVA's Mandate

---

Implementation required by January 1, 2006

### US Department of Justice Agreement (2005)

- CalVoter is not HAVA compliant
- "Interim solution" to get as compliant as possible
  - Limited modifications to Calvoter
  - Adoption of regulations for HAVA enforcement
- Proceed with truly compliant VoteCal system as rapidly as possible

5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Where We're At (Procurement Phase)

---

### Request for Proposal (RFP) requirements were based on:

- County input through the original Advisory Committee
- Lessons learned from other states
- Draft review by County Technical Advisory Committees
- Further refined in addenda based on county input and vendor feedback

### Solutions-based procurement

- Requirements specify what system must do, not how to do it

6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Where We're At (Procurement Phase)

---

Final proposals were due January 29, 2009

### 3 proposals received:

- First evaluated & scored without respect to cost
- Only one advanced to cost opening
- No protests!

### Special Project Report (SPR) submitted to control agencies and Legislature

- Awaiting approval before we can sign contract to start project in earnest

7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Winning Solution: Vendor

---

### Catalyst Consulting Group –

- Successfully developed and implemented similar system for Illinois
- Direct experience with many of California's Election Management System (EMS) vendors
- Key partners:
  - DFM Associates
  - Microsoft

8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Winning Solution: Strategy

---

### “Bottom-Up” Strategy

- County elections staff will continue to use their EMS to process registration and manage elections
- EMSs will be modified to work directly with VoteCal (“remediation”)

9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Winning Solution: Strategy

---

### What about the VoteCal EMS?

- Proposed as “option” in RFP: Alternative for county elections departments if their EMS no longer viable
- Catalyst proposal included the optional EMS
- Secretary of State decision *to not implement* (not required by HAVA, introduces risk, additional resource requirements)

10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Winning Solution: Strategy

### Remediate or Migrate?

- HAVA will cover the cost to remediate EMSs
- Some county elections offices will migrate to a new EMS:
  - EMS vendor can't or won't remediate
  - All EMS customers want to move to another existing EMS

EMS Systems	
System	# of Counties
Crest	1
DFM	32
ES&S	3
Premier	19
Votec	2
"homegrown"	1

11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Winning Solution: Proposed Budget

HAVA Funds Allocated for VoteCal	\$ 66 Million
VoteCal System Development (Catalyst Bid)	\$ 18.2 Million
Other Project One-Time Costs	23.1 Million
<b>Total Development and Implementation Costs</b>	<b>\$ 41.3 Million</b>
Ongoing Annual Operating Cost	\$ 4.8 Million

### Other Project One-Time Costs (above) includes:

- Remediation of EMSs (or migration to another EMS)
- Other contracted services (e.g., oversight, security evaluation, etc.)
- Network upgrade and facility fees for redundant fail-over system
- County expenses for participation in design sessions, training, and data conversion

12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Winning Solution: Tentative Schedule

Sept. 2009	Execution of contract with vendor
Oct. 2009 through Jan. 2010	Project Startup Phase <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Finalize plans and schedule</li> </ul>
Jan. 2010 through Aug. 2010	Design Phase <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ "Discovery Sessions"</li> <li>■ Specifications and standards</li> </ul>
Aug. 2010 through Feb. 2011	Development Phase <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Install &amp; configure infrastructure</li> <li>■ Develop VoteCal application</li> <li>■ Remediate EMSs</li> </ul>

13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Winning Solution: Tentative Schedule

Feb. 2011 through May 2011	<b>Testing Phase</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>■ Functional testing of VoteCal system</li><li>■ Integration testing with EMSs</li><li>■ Acceptance testing of VoteCal system</li></ul>
April 2011 through July 2011	<b>Pilot Deployment and Testing</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>■ Training of staff in pilot counties</li><li>■ Implementation at pilot counties</li><li>■ Pilot operation of system for June 2011 election</li></ul>
Aug. 2011 through Dec. 2011	<b>Full Deployment and Go Live!</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>■ Training of remaining county elections staff</li><li>■ Deployment and conversion of remaining county elections offices</li><li>■ Final cutover from Calvoter to VoteCal</li></ul>

14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: Registration

### Paradigm shift: "Register in California"

- Single, complete record for voter at the State (including historic changes)
- County elections departments still "own" and manage the voter records
- Cross-county moves become an update of the existing record
- Ability to view complete record of any voter (including affidavit and signature images)

15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: Registration

### Registration Processing: real-time integration with VoteCal during registration entry

- Identification (ID) verification
- Search for existing voter registration records
- Update of existing record, if any
- Confirmation and assignment of state ID number
- Determine if "first-time voter" that is required to provide ID at the polls

16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: List Maintenance

### Flexible matching system to improve with experience

- High-confidence matches automatically applied
- Probable matches resolved by County elections staff (access to full record)
- County elections staff capability to 'undo' invalid matches

In most cases, duplicate registrations will be avoided, becoming updates to the existing registration

17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: List Maintenance

### Motor Voter

- DMV COA will continue electronically
- Signature images will be included
- Capability for more complete "Motor Voter" process (if the laws and processes are changed)

### Felon and Death Record matching

- Match against existing voters when new felon or death records received
- New registrations will be checked against known felons and deceased

18

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: List Maintenance

### National Change of Address (NCOA) and CASS Standardization

- Automatic NCOA processing and correction
- CASS corrected address data provided back to counties

19

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: The Official List

---

**Rosters & Supplemental Rosters will be generated from VoteCal**

- Direct printing
- Import into EMS for formatting/printing
- Export file for 3<sup>rd</sup> party printing
- Export file for electronic poll books

**County officials will have the ability to check synchronization of data**

20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: Public Web Site

---

**VoteCal public web site will offer:**

- Secure voter look-up of registration status
- Voter lookup of provisional ballot status
- Voter lookup of vote-by-mail ballot status
- On-line voter registration

21

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: Data Security

---

**All data communication over private WAN**

- All data encrypted during transmission (SSL or 128-bit RSA)
- Firewalls at each county and intrusion prevention systems to prevent access to county network by SOS or other counties

**Private data encrypted while stored**

22

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: Data Security

---

Most user access will be through EMS

Direct access to VoteCal by county users:

- 2-Factor authentication (user password + token-generated one-time password)
- Local 'administrators' will assign users to predefined roles and manage user accounts

Complete audit trail for all data changes

23

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## VoteCal System: Reliability

---

Standards for performance

- 2000 concurrent users/200 transactions per second without degradation

Fully redundant co-location for failover protection

Standards for operation without maintenance interruptions during peak election time

24

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## County Role: Keep Informed

---

VoteCal Website -

[www.sos.ca.gov/elections/votecal\\_home.htm](http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/votecal_home.htm)

Key project information:

- RFP (system requirements)
- Special Project Report (schedule, budget)
- Calendars & notices of upcoming events
- Status reports, Meeting minutes

25

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## County Role: Actively Participate

---

### Attend regional meetings

- Next round: IT & technical staff (4<sup>th</sup> quarter, 2009)

### Discovery (JAD) Sessions – to clarify the 'how'

- Early 2010
- Representative county participation (size, region, EMS, etc) and EMS vendors
- Review minutes and provide feedback

26

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## County Role: Actively Participate

---

### Provide Feedback

- Issues ... concerns ... "atta boys"
- Risk identification

### Consider "piloting"

27

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key VoteCal Contacts

---

Mary Winkley  
Project Director  
■ *Email:* mary.winkley@sos.ca.gov  
■ *Voice:* (916) 654-8365  
■ *Fax:* (916) 653-4620

Bruce McDannold Elections Division Lead ■ <i>Email:</i> bruce.mcdannold@sos.ca.gov ■ <i>Voice:</i> (916) 651-9064 ■ <i>Fax:</i> (916) 653-3214	Cathy Ingram-Kelly Elections Division Lead ■ <i>Email:</i> catherine.ingram-kelly@sos.ca.gov ■ <i>Voice:</i> (916) 651-8975 ■ <i>Fax:</i> (916) 653-3214
--	--

29

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---